

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 WILLIAM E. HUTCHISON, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-03-1673 LKK DAD PS

12 vs.

13 UNITED STATES FOREST
14 SERVICE, et al.,

15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 Plaintiffs, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was
17 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

18 On August 25, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
19 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
20 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Plaintiffs have
21 filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
23 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
24 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
25 proper analysis.

26 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed August 25, 2005, are adopted in full;

2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment is granted and this action is dismissed.

DATED: September 16, 2005.

/s/Lawrence K. Karlton
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

/hutchison1673.jo